| Interview Summary  | Application No.           | Applicant(s) |  |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|--|
|  | 10/553,946                | TENDO ET AL. |  |
|  | Examiner                  | Art Unit     |  |
|  | DAVID E. GALLIS           | 1625         |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |                           |              |  |
| (1) <u>DAVID E. GALLIS</u> .   | (3) Jeffrey Townes.       |              |  |
| (2) <u>Barry Dentz</u> .   | (4) <u>Hongling Zou</u> . |              |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>01 May 0509</u> .  |                           |              |  |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]   |                           |              |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  |                           |              |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>3,4 and 8-10</u> .  |                           |              |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Nomura et al. (US 6,387,908).   |                           |              |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.   |                           |              |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A discussion of the experimental data found in the 1.132 Affidavit was made which included the differences in the DSC data as well as the shelf life and morphology of the material. Also, it was noted that the newly added claims were matter from previously canceled claims supported by the the specification. Examiner stated that he would bare this information in mind on his next review and action.</u> |                           |              |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |                           |              |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  |                           |              |  |
|  |                           |              |  |
|  |                           |              |  |

/David E Gallis/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625